

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,590	06/06/2000	Gary Karlin Michelson	101-0044-03000	7688
22882	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
	O'PINES STREET, NE E, OH 44632		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3764	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/497,590	MICHELSON, GARY KARI	LIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Brown	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	).  ely filed  the mailing date of this communication  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma	arch 2006					
· _ ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits i	s			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
·	r parto gaujro, roce e.e , re					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>105-115 and 121-194</u> is/are pending i						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>105- 115, 121-148 and </u>	<u>id 188-194</u> is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>149-168 and 170-187</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>169</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>169</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
Attachment(s)	□					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08).  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-3(-0 6 10/5/03 4 10/2 9/2 2/03		atent Application (PTO-152)				
D-1117						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 149-165, 167-168, 170-171, 173-180, 182-183 and 185-186 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brantigan in view of Ma.

Brantigan discloses in figures 1-11 an apparatus for use in human spinal surgery comprising a guard member 22, extension portions (the portions of 22 that extends outward near 23 but don't including 23), the extension have the same height (fig. 4), penetrating means 23, (that are teeth or prongs), a spinal implant 11, fusion promoting material (col. 1, lines 62-69 col. 2,lines 1-54), the penetrating means has a tapered end (fig. 4) the guard is a hollow sleeve (fig. 4), the distal end of the guard is contoured (fig. 4), the proximal end of the guard has an increased diameter (at the larger portions of 22 adjacent to 23), a bone removal device 21, the spinal implant is part bone and bone graft (col. 1 lines 62-69 – col. 2, lines 1-54), an implant driver 24 and the drill 21 has flutes (that allow for the removal of bone). However, Brantigan doesn't disclose the passage of the guide being a non-circular cross-section. Ma teaches in figure 17 a guide 44a having a passage (the inside of 44a) that is non-circular. It would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the passage of the guide disclosed by Brantigan could be fabricated with a non-circular cross-section as taught by Ma in order to be able to insert a non-circular or circular cutting tool into the guide. The guide could be also be used to insert a non-circular implant through the guide. The two extensions disclosed by Brantigan are capable of distancing apart two adjacent vertebral bodies.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 166, 172, 181, 184 and 187 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims above, and further in view of Stednitz.

Stednitz teaches using a tap (tap threads) to form a threaded hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the tap as taught by Stednitz could be used to form a threaded hole in the vertebrae. It is a design choice to make the guard of a rectangular cross-section as taught by Ma. The end of the device away from 22 on the end opposite 23 is being interpreted as a cap. It is old and well known to use a press into compression a

substance into a container (a spinal implant). It is a matter of duplication to have a second distractor.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim169 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that it is improper to combine the teaching of Ma (forming a non-circular) guide with Brantigan because the guide lacks a non-circular cross-section. However, the examiner used Ma to provide a guide that the outer portion is non-circular. The examiner doesn't disagree that the inside provides a circular opening. Whether something circular can be inserted into the guide doesn't provide any novelty over the prior art. Applicant argues that the portions of the sleeve that the examiner is interpreting as extensions do not distance apart the adjacent vertebral bodies from at least in part within the disc space between the adjacent vertebrae bodies. However, the extensions disclosed by Brantigan are capable of distancing apart two adjacent vertebrae bodies from at least in part within the disc space between the adjacent vertebrae bodies.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/497,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown June 7, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Milufabn